REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-32 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The

foregoing amendments are believed to address all of the points the Examiner has made to

provide clear antecedent basis for the terms therein. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully

requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

The Examiner also has objected to claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, and

30. Applicant respectfully traverses this objection. Throughout the claims, there is reference to

a first computer system and a second computer system. The terminology is believed consistent

within the claims, and the dependent claims to which the Examiner has referred do indeed refer

to either a first computer system or a second computer system as recited in the independent

claims from which they depend. The undersigned has reviewed the usage in the claims, and

believes they are indeed consistent. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the

Examiner reconsider and withdraw this objection.

Request for Allowance

It is believed that this Amendment places the application in condition for allowance, and

early favorable consideration of this Amendment is earnestly solicited.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number

listed below.

Page 19 of 20

Application No. 09/895,055 Amendment dated May 9, 2006

Office Action mail date: February 9, 2006

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayments, to

Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted, KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: May 9, 2006

Reg. No. 31,484

Customer No. 61023

KENYON & KENYON LLP 333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (408) 975-7500

Facsimile:

(408) 975-7501